

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/923,557		08/07/2001	Masami Kato	1232-4748	1232-4748 2892 EXAMINER	
27123	7590	04/17/2006		EXAM		
		IEGAN, L.L.P.	CHANKONG, DOHM			
NEW YORK		AL CENTER 10281-2101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		•	2152		
			•	DATÉ MAILED: 04/17/2006	DATE MAILED: 04/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/923,557	KATO ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dohm Chankong	2152					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
HE REPLY FILED 10 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advert, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th		er is later. In no				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be appeared to the property of the North Company.	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	herause				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			,				
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6, 9-20 and 23-30.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a l nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	8		2				
	BUNJO! SUPERVIS	BUARDENCHONW BORY PATENT EXA	ANIT MINER				

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's amendment is not entered because they raise new issues, such as the addition of a user presence recognition means and the functionality of decreasing the intensity of mosaic or gradation process when a user does not exist, that would require further consideration and search..